arXiv:1704.00376v1 [quant-ph] 2 Apr 2017

BURES CONTRACTIVE CHANNELS ON OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

DOUGLAS FARENICK AND MIZANUR RAHAMAN

ABSTRACT. In a unital C*-algebra with a faithful trace functional τ, the set $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ of positive $\rho \in \mathsf{A}$ of trace $\tau(\rho) = 1$ is an algebraic analogue of the space of density matrices (the set of all positive matrices of a fixed dimension of unit trace). Motivated by the literature concerning the metric properties of the space of density matrices, the present paper studies the density space $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ in terms of the Bures metric. Linear maps on A that map $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ back into itself are positive and trace preserving; hence, they may be viewed as an algebraic analogue of a quantum channel, which are studied intensely in the literature on quantum computing and quantum information theory.

The main results in this paper are: (i) to establish that the Bures metric is indeed a metric; (ii) to prove that channels induce nonexpansive maps of the density space $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$; (iii) to introduce and study channels on A that are locally contractive maps (which we call *Bures contractions*) on the metric space $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$; and (iv) to analyse Bures contractions from the point of view of the Frobenius theory of cone preserving linear maps.

Although the focus is on unital C*-algebras, an important class of examples is furnished by finite von Neumann algebras. Indeed, several of the C*-algebra results are established by first proving them for finite von Neumann algebras and then proving them for C*-algebras by embedding a C*-algebra A into its enveloping von Neumann algebra A^{**}.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** Density matrices, which are positive semidefinite matrices ρ of a fixed dimension of trace $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho) = 1$, are an essential feature of mathematical physics and quantum theory. The monograph of Bengtsson and Życzkowski [3], for example, is devoted to the geometry of spaces of density matrices and to applications to quantum entanglement. Certain applications require the use of density operators (positive trace-class operators of unit trace) acting on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, but the theory is slightly different in this context because the identity operator, in contrast to the matrix case, has infinite trace. Another direction where commonalities with the matrix setting might be expected is with unital C*-algebras that possess a faithful trace functional in which the identity of the algebra has finite trace. This occurs, for example, with a large class of interesting separable C*-algebras, and with finite von Neumann algebras. In this paper we consider both types of operator algebras. Specifically, if A is an operator algebra

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L60, 81R15.

Key words and phrases. positive linear map, completely positive linear map, irreducible positive linear map, multiplicative domain, quantum channel.

(that is, a C*- or von Neumann algebra) possessing a faithful (finite) trace functional τ, then the set $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ of all positive elements $\rho \in \mathsf{A}$ with trace $\tau(\rho) = 1$ is a norm-closed convex set called the *τ-density space* of A.

There are several metrics of interest on spaces of density matrices. Our interest in the present paper is with the *Bures metric*, which originates in a paper of Bures [5] and was later adapted to finite-dimensional Hilbert space by Uhlmann [31] and Jozsa [21]. Expository works on the Bures metric for density matrices are given in the monographs [3, 18], for example.

Definition 1.1. If τ is a faithful tracial linear functional on a unital C*-algebra A, and if $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}) = \{\rho \in \mathsf{A} : \rho \text{ is positive and } \tau(\rho) = 1\}$, then the *Bures distance* $d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho)$ between two elements $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ is defined to be

$$d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = \sqrt{1 - \tau(|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|)}.$$

The quantity $\tau(|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|)$ is called the *fidelity* of σ and ρ and is denoted by $F_\tau(\sigma, \rho)$.

If τ is the canonical trace on the algebra $\mathsf{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ of $d \times d$ complex matrices, then the Bures distance is well known to be a metric on the space of $d \times d$ density matrices. However, for the case of an arbitrary operator algebra A, additional work must be carried out to show that d_B^τ is a metric on $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ (see Section §2).

If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a linear transformation that maps $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ back into itself, then $\mathcal{E}$ is necessarily positive and trace preserving; we will call such maps *channels*, again motivated by the literature in mathematical physics. One point of departure from the mainstream literature, however, is that we do not require our channels to be completely positive, which is very often the basic assumption in quantum information theory. In this we regard we are guided by the monograph of Størmer [29], which demonstrates that complete positivity is often an unnecessarily strong assumption and that one need only assume that the positive linear maps in question satisfy the (generalised) Schwarz inequality. Indeed, our results in this paper are most often phrased for maps of this Schwarz type.

Every channel $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ induces a continuous affine function $f_\mathcal{E}$ on the metric space $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$ defined by $f_\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \mathcal{E}(\rho)$. It so happens that the affine functions $f_\mathcal{E}$ are nonexpansive maps – that is, $f_\mathcal{E}$ does not increase the Bures distance between pairs of density elements. In an earlier paper [13], we studied the structure of those channels $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ that induce isometric maps of the metric space $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$. In the present paper we consider the opposite type of behaviour in that we study channels $\mathcal{E}$, called *Bures contractions* herein, for which $d_B^\tau(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) < d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho)$ for all pairs of distinct $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$. Our interest is with the spectral properties of Bures contractive channels (from the point of view of Perron-Frobenius theory), and certain mapping features of these channels, including multiplicative domains, fixed points, invariant faces of the positive cone A_+ of A, and inverses.

In a much earlier paper [27], M. Raginsky studied contractive channels with respect to the trace-norm metric $d_1^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = \tau(|\sigma - \rho|)$ on the density-matrix space. Although a number of our results are inspired by his work, there are nevertheless some notable differences: (i) we consider what are called locally contractive linearly induced affine maps of the metric space $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$, whereas Raginsky is concerned with strictly contractive linearly induced affine maps of $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_1^\tau)$; and (ii) we consider general tracial operator algebras, whereas Raginsky is motivated by issues in quantum computation and therefore is concerned only with matrices. The metrics

d_B^τ and d_1^τ induce the same topologies on $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ (Proposition 2.8), but the analysis of contractions differs in light of the inequality $\sqrt{2}d_B^\tau(\sigma,\rho) \leq d_1^\tau(\sigma,\rho)$, for all $\sigma,\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ (Proposition 2.7). We refer the reader to [20] for a study of isometric channels with respect to the trace norm in the setting of finite-dimensional Hilbert space.

1.2. **Definitions, assumptions, and notation.** The following definitions are standard, but are stated here explicitly for clarity.

Definition 1.2. *A linear map $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ of a unital C*-algebra A is:*

1. unital, *if $\Phi(1) = 1$ (the multiplicative identity of A);*
2. positive, *if $\Phi(\mathsf{A}_+) \subseteq \mathsf{A}_+$;*
3. k-positive, *if $\Phi^{(k)} : \mathsf{M}_k(\mathsf{A}) \to \mathsf{M}_k(\mathsf{A})$ is a positive linear map, where $\Phi^{(k)}\left([a_{ij}]_{i,j}\right) = [\Phi(a_{ij})]_{i,j}$ for all $k \times k$ matrices $[a_{ij}]_{i,j}$ with entries $a_{ij} \in \mathsf{A}$;*
4. completely positive, *if Φ is k-positive for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$;*
5. *a* Schwarz map, *if $\Phi(x)^*\Phi(x) \leq \Phi(x^*x)$, for every $x \in \mathsf{A}$.*

Note that Schwarz maps are necessarily positive. While it is true that every unital 2-positive linear map is a Schwarz map, there do exist unital Schwarz maps that fail to be 2-positive [9].

Definition 1.3 (Channels in the C*-algebra Category)**.** *A linear map $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ of a unital C*-algebra A with a distinguished faithful trace functional τ is:*

1. trace-preserving, *if $\tau \circ \mathcal{E} = \tau$;*
2. *a* channel, *if $\mathcal{E}$ is trace-preserving and positive;*
3. *a* k-positive channel, *if $\mathcal{E}$ is trace-preserving and k-positive;*
4. *a* completely positive channel, *if $\mathcal{E}$ is trace-preserving and completely positive;*
5. *a* Schwarz channel, *if $\mathcal{E}$ is a trace-preserving Schwarz map.*

Definition 1.4 (Channels in the Finite von Neumann algebra Category)**.** *A positive linear map $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{N} \to \mathsf{N}$ of a (finite) von Neumann algebra N with a distinguished normal faithful trace functional τ is:*

1. normal, *if $\mathcal{E}\left(\sup_\lambda h_\lambda\right) = \sup_\lambda \mathcal{E}(h_\lambda)$, for every bounded-above increasing net $\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda$ of selfadjoint operators $h_\lambda \in \mathsf{N}$;*
2. *a* channel, *if $\mathcal{E}$ is trace-preserving and normal.*

One natural occurrence of normal traces and channels is as follows. If τ is a faithful trace functional on a unital C*-algebra A, then the bidual τ^{**} of τ is a faithful normal trace on the enveloping von Neumann algebra (i.e., on the bidual) A^{**} of A. Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a channel on (A,τ), then $\mathcal{E}^{**}$ is a channel on $(\mathsf{A}^{**},\tau^{**})$; indeed, $\mathcal{E}^{**}$ is a Schwarz channel if $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel [16, Lemma 3] .

To avoid repetitious statements of hypotheses, the following notational assumptions are made for the remainder of the paper:

- A denotes a unital C*-algebra, and A_+ denotes the cone of positive elements of A;
- N denotes a finite von Neumann algebra;
- τ denotes a fixed faithful trace on a unital C*-algebra A or a fixed faithful normal trace on a finite von Neumann algebra N;
- $\mathrm{GL}(\mathsf{A})_+$ denotes $\mathrm{GL}(\mathsf{A}) \cap \mathsf{A}_+$, the set of positive invertible elements.

We record the following basic facts about positive linear maps for future reference.

Proposition 1.5. *Assume that $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a linear transformation.*

(1) (Russo-Dye) *If $\mathcal{E}$ is positive, then $\mathcal{E}$ is continuous and $\|\mathcal{E}\| = \|\mathcal{E}(1)\|$.*
(2) *$\mathcal{E}$ maps $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ back into itself if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is a channel.*
(3) *If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel, then $\mathcal{E}(1) = 1$.*

Proof. The Russo-Dye Theorem is proved in [24, Chapter 2], while the fact that $\mathcal{E}$ maps $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ back into itself if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is positive and $\tau \circ \mathcal{E} = \tau$ is easy to verify.

To prove the third statement, note that for every $x \in \mathsf{A}$ we have that $\mathcal{E}(x^*x) \geq \mathcal{E}(x)^*\mathcal{E}(x)$, which implies that

$$\|\mathcal{E}\| \, \|x\|^2 = \|\mathcal{E}\| \, \|x^*x\| \geq \|\mathcal{E}(x^*x)\| \geq \|\mathcal{E}(x)^*\mathcal{E}(x)\| = \|\mathcal{E}(x)\|^2.$$

With $x = 1$ and using $\|\mathcal{E}\| = \|\mathcal{E}(1)\|$, the inequality above yields $\|\mathcal{E}\|^2 \leq \|\mathcal{E}\|$, and so $\|\mathcal{E}\| \leq 1$. Thus, $\mathcal{E}(1)$ is a positive contraction and, therefore, $1 - \mathcal{E}(1)$ is positive. Hence, $0 \leq \tau(1 - \mathcal{E}(1)) = \tau(1) - \tau \circ \mathcal{E}(1) = \tau(1) - \tau(1) = 0$. Because τ is faithful and since $1 - \mathcal{E}(1) \in \mathsf{A}_+$, we see that $\tau(1 - \mathcal{E}(1)) = 0$ only if $1 - \mathcal{E}(1) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{E}(1) = 1$. □

2. The Bures Metric

This section establishes, for tracial C*-algebras, a number of important properties of the trace functional and the Bure metric that are known to hold in matrix algebras. Once again, the notation assumptions herein are that A denotes a unital C*-algebra and τ denotes a faithful trace on A.

2.1. **The trace norm is a norm.** It is well known that the function $x \mapsto \tau(|x|)$ defines a norm on a (semi)finite von Neumann algebra with faithful normal trace τ. This function also defines a norm on a unital C*-algebra A with faithful trace τ, as noted in the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *The function $x \mapsto \tau(|x|)$ defines a norm on A.*

Proof. Because τ is faithful, we have $\tau(|x|) = 0$ only if $|x| = 0$, and so $x = 0$ when $\tau(|x|) = 0$. Moreover, map $x \mapsto \tau(|x|)$ clearly satisfies $\alpha x \mapsto |\alpha|\tau(|x|)$.

To prove the triangle inequality, let $x, y \in \mathsf{A}$. By [1, Theorem 4.2], for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist unitaries $u, v \in \mathsf{A}$ such that $|x + y| \leq u|x|u^* + v|y|v^* + \varepsilon 1$. Thus, $\tau(|x + y|) \leq \tau(|x|) + \tau(|y|) + \varepsilon\tau(1)$. As this is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce that $\tau(|x + y|) \leq \tau(|x|) + \tau(|y|)$. □

Definition 2.2. *The norm on A defined in Proposition 2.1 is called the* trace norm *on A, and is denoted by $\| \cdot \|_{1,\tau}$. The induced metric $d_1^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = \|\sigma - \rho\|_{1,\tau}$ on the density space $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ is called the* trace-norm metric.

2.2. **The Bures metric is a metric.** To establish the metric property of the Bures distance, we begin with the von Neumann algebra category.

Lemma 2.3. *If $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{N})$, then*

(1) *$d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = d_B^\tau(\rho, \sigma)$, and*
(2) *if $d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = 0$, then $\sigma = \rho$.*

Proof. For each $x \in \mathsf{N}$, let $\mu_z(t) = \inf\left\{\|xe\| \,|\, e^* = e = e^2, \tau(1-e) \leq t\right\}$, which is a Borel measurable function on $[0,\infty)$ and which, by [10], satisfies

$$\tau(|x|) = \int_0^{\tau(1)} \mu_x(t)\, dt.$$

Moreover, the results of [10] show that $\mu_x = \mu_{x^*} = \mu_{|x|}$ and, for any $x, y \in \mathsf{N}$, the equation $\mu_{|yx^*|}(t) = \mu_{|xy^*|}(t)$ holds for every $t \in [0,\infty)$. In addition, if $h \in \mathsf{N}_+$ and if $\psi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is an increasing continuous function such that $\psi(0) = 0$, then $\mu_{\psi(h)}(t) = \psi\left(\mu_h(t)\right)$, for all $t \in [0,\infty)$. In particular, with $\psi(t) = \sqrt{t}$, we deduce that $\tau(|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|) = \tau(|\rho^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}|)$, for all $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{N})$. Hence, $d_B^\tau(\sigma,\rho) = d_B^\tau(\rho,\sigma)$.

The tracial arithmetic-geometric mean inequality states that

$$\tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\tau(\sigma) + \tau(\rho)\right) = 1.$$

Therefore, $d_B^\tau(\sigma,\rho) = 0$ implies that $\tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\tau(\sigma) + \tau(\rho)\right)$, which in turn implies that $\sigma = \rho$ by [14, Theorem 3.4]. □

Lemma 2.4. *If τ is a faithful normal trace functional on a von Neumann algebra N, and if $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{N})$, then*

1. *$\sqrt{2}\, d_B^\tau(\sigma,\rho) \leq \sqrt{\tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}w|^2\right)}$, for every $w \in \mathsf{N}$ of norm $\|w\| \leq 1$, and*
2. *there exists a unitary $w \in \mathsf{N}$ for which equality in (1) holds.*

Proof. If $w \in \mathsf{N}$ has norm $\|w\| \leq 1$, then $1 - w^*w \in \mathsf{N}_+$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}w|^2\right) &= 2\left(1 - \Re\left[\tau(w\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\right]\right) \\
&\geq 2\left(1 - \left|\tau(w\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}\right|\right) \\
&\geq 2\left(1 - \tau(|w\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|\right) \\
&\geq 2\left(1 - \tau(|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the final inequality follows from $|wx|^2 = x^*(w^*w)x \leq x^*x = |x|^2$ and the monotonicity of the square root function $t \mapsto t^{1/2}$ in functional calculus. Thus, $\sqrt{2}\, d_b^\tau(\sigma,\rho) \leq \sqrt{\tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}w|^2\right)}$.

Because N is a finite von Neumann algebra, there exists an extreme point v of the unit ball of N such that $\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2} = v|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|$ [6]. Therefore, since the extreme points of the unit ball of a finite von Neumann algebra are necessarily unitary, $v^*v = vv^* = 1$. Thus, $v^*\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2} = |\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}| = |\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|^* = \rho^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}v$. Hence,

$$2 - 2\tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2}|\right) = 2 - 2\Re\left[\tau(v^*\sigma^{1/2}\rho^{1/2})\right] = \tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}v^*|^2\right),$$

which yields equality in (1). □

Lemma 2.5. *If $\sigma, \rho, \theta \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{N})$, then*

$$d_B^\tau(\sigma,\rho) \leq d_B^\tau(\sigma,\theta) + d_B^\tau(\theta,\rho).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, there are unitaries $u, w \in \mathsf{N}$ such that

$$d_B^\tau(\sigma,\theta) = \sqrt{\tau\left(|\sigma^{1/2} - \theta^{1/2}w|^2\right)} \text{ and } d_B^\tau(\theta,\rho) = \sqrt{\tau\left(|\theta^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}u|^2\right)}.$$

Let $v = uw$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}v &= \sigma^{1/2} - \theta^{1/2}w + \theta^{1/2}w - \rho^{1/2}v \\ &= (\sigma^{1/2} - \theta^{1/2}w) + (\theta^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}vw^*)w \\ &= (\sigma^{1/2} - \theta^{1/2}w) + (\theta^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}u)w.\end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \sigma^{1/2} - \theta^{1/2}w$ and $y = -(\theta^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}u)w$ so that $x - y = \sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}v$. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the sesquilinear form $(x, y) \mapsto \tau(xy^*)$ yields $|\tau(xy^*)| \leq \sqrt{\tau(|x|^2)\,\tau(|y|^2)}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sqrt{\tau(|x|^2)} + \sqrt{\tau(|y^2|)}\right)^2 &= \tau(|x|^2) + \tau(|y|^2) + 2\sqrt{\tau(|x|^2)\,\tau(|y|^2)} \\ &\geq \tau(|x|^2) + \tau(|y|^2) + 2\,|\tau(xy^*)| \\ &\geq \tau(|x|^2) + \tau(|y|^2) + 2\Re\,[\tau(xy^*)] \\ &= \tau(|x - y|^2).\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\tau\left(\left|\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}v\right|^2\right)} &\leq \sqrt{\tau\left(\left|\sigma^{1/2} - \theta^{1/2}w\right|^2\right)} + \sqrt{\tau\left(\left|(\theta^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}u)w\right|^2\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{\tau\left(\left|\sigma^{1/2} - \theta^{1/2}w\right|^2\right)} + \sqrt{\tau\left(\left|\theta^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}u\right|^2\right)} \\ &= d_B^\tau(\sigma, \theta) + d_B^\tau(\theta, \rho).\end{aligned}$$

Because Lemma 2.4 asserts that $d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) \leq \sqrt{\tau\left(\left|\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}v\right|^2\right)}$, the triangle inequality $d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) \leq d_B^\tau(\sigma, \theta) + d_B^\tau(\theta, \rho)$ follows. □

Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 above are modelled on matrix-theoretic results: see [18, Exercise 2.20].

We are now ready to prove that the Bures metric is indeed a metric in the formal sense.

Theorem 2.6. *The function d_B^τ is a metric on $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, for every unital C*-algebra A and faithful trace functional τ on A.*

Proof. If A is a von Neumann algebra and if τ is a faithful normal trace functional, then Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 show that d_B^τ is a metric. If these hypotheses on A and τ are not in effect, then let the GNS representation of τ be given by $\tau(x) = \langle \pi(x)\xi, \xi\rangle$, for $x \in \mathsf{A}$, where $\pi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{H})$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism and $\xi \in \mathsf{H}$ is a unit cyclic vector for the C*-algebra $\pi(\mathsf{A})$. Let N denote the double commutant of $\pi(\mathsf{A})$. By [30, Proposition V.3.19], there exists a faithful normal trace on N such that $\tau = \tau_{\mathsf{N}} \circ \pi$. Because $d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = d_B^{\tau_{\mathsf{N}}}(\pi(\sigma), \pi(\rho))$, for all $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, the metric properties of d_B^τ are inherited from the metric properties of $d_B^{\tau_{\mathsf{N}}}$. □

Fidelity satisfies $F_\tau(\sigma, \rho) \in [0, 1]$, for all $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, and thus the values of the Bures metric d_b^τ lie in the closed interval $[0, 1]$. Furthermore, a pair of τ-density

elements σ and ρ are at maximal distance apart if and only if $\sigma\rho = \rho\sigma = 0$ [13, Theorem 2.4], which is an algebraic orthogonality relation that we shall denote by $\sigma\perp\rho$. More generally, two elements $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$ are *orthogonal*, denoted by $x\perp y$, if $xy = yx = x^*y = xy^* = 0$.

The relationship between the metric induced by the trace norm and the Bures metric is made clear by the following fundamental inequality [15] for fidelity. (The proof in [15] is for the case of matrices.)

Proposition 2.7 (Fuchs-van de Graaf Inequality)**.** *For all $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$,*

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_{1,\tau} \leq F_\tau(\rho, \sigma) \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4}\|\rho - \sigma\|_{1,\tau}^2}.$$

Equivalently,

$$2 - 2F_\tau(\rho, \sigma) \leq \|\rho - \sigma\|_{1,\tau} \leq 2\sqrt{1 - F_\tau(\rho, \sigma)^2}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.6, we may assume without loss of generality that A is a unital C*-subalgebra of a finite von Neumann algebra N with faithful normal trace τ such that A is dense in N with respect to the strong operator topology.

The Powers-Størmer inequality [19, 26] asserts that $2\tau(a^{1/2}b^{1/2}) \geq \tau(a + b - |a - b|)$, for all $a, b \in \mathsf{A}_+$. Because $|\tau(x)| \leq \tau(|x|)$ for every $x \in \mathsf{A}$, we obtain $2 - \|\rho - \sigma\|_{1,\tau} \leq 2F_\tau(\rho, \sigma)$, which gives the first inequality.

For the second inequality, observe that, for any unitary $u \in \mathsf{N}$ and $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$,

$$2(\rho - \sigma) = (\rho^{1/2} + \sigma^{1/2}u^*)(\rho^{1/2} - u\sigma^{1/2}) + (\rho^{1/2} - \sigma^{1/2}u^*)(\rho^{1/2} + u\sigma^{1/2}).$$

By the triangle inequality for the trace norm and using the Hölder inequality [11], we see that

$$\|(\rho - \sigma)\|_{1,\tau}^2 \leq \|(\rho^{1/2} + \sigma^{1/2}u^*)\|_{2,\tau}^2 \|(\rho^{1/2} - u\sigma^{1/2})\|_{2,\tau}^2,$$

where $\|x\|_{2,\tau}$ is given by $\sqrt{\tau(x^*x)}$. Simplifying the right hand side yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\rho - \sigma)\|_{1,\tau}^2 &\leq (2 + 2\Re\ \tau(\rho^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}u))(2 - 2\Re\ \tau(\rho^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}u)) \\ &= 4 - 4(\Re\ \tau(\rho^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}u))^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, $F_\tau(\rho, \sigma) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathsf{N})} \Re\ \tau(\rho^{1/2}\sigma^{1/2}u)$, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathsf{N})$ is the unitary group of N. Therefore, the inequalities above imply that $4F(\rho, \sigma)^2 \leq 4 - \|(\rho - \sigma)\|_{1,\tau}^2$. □

If d_1^τ denotes the metric on A given by $d_1^\tau(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{1,\tau}$, then we have:

Proposition 2.8. *The metric spaces $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_1^\tau)$ are homeomorphic.*

2.3. **Joint concavity of fidelity.** The joint concavity of fidelity, which is well known for matrices, extends to the level of C*-algebras as well.

Lemma 2.9. *If $a, b, c, d \in \mathsf{A}_+$, then*

$$\tau(|(a + b)^{1/2}(c + d)^{1/2}|) \geq \tau(|a^{1/2}c^{1/2}|) + \tau(|b^{1/2}d^{1/2}|).$$

Proof. By the variational principle of [13, Proposition 2.2]), if $a, b \in \mathsf{A}_+$, then

$$\tau(|a^{1/2}b^{1/2}|) = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{y \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathsf{A})_+} \left(\tau(ay) + \tau(by^{-1})\right).$$

Therefore, if $a, b, c, d \in \mathsf{A}_+$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(|(a+b)^{1/2}(c+d)^{1/2}|) &= \frac{1}{2}\inf_{y\in \mathrm{GL}(\mathsf{A})_+}\big(\tau((a+b)y)+\tau((c+d)y^{-1})\big)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\inf_{y\in \mathrm{G}(\mathsf{A})_+}\big([\tau((ay)+\tau(cy^{-1})]+[\tau(by)+\tau(dy^{-1})]\big)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\inf_{y\in \mathrm{GL}(\mathsf{A})_+}\big([\tau((ay)+\tau(cy^{-1})]\big)\\ &\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\inf_{y\in \mathrm{GL}(\mathsf{A})_+}\big([\tau(by)+\tau(dy^{-1})]\big)\\ &= \tau(|a^{1/2}c^{1/2}|)+\tau(|b^{1/2}d^{1/2}|).\end{aligned}$$

The inequality above occurs from the fact that if f, g are two positive functions, then $\inf_x(f+g)(x) \geq \inf_x(f(x)) + \inf_x(g(x))$. □

Proposition 2.10 (Joint Concavity of Fidelity)**.** *If $\sigma_j, \rho_j \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, for $j = 1, 2$, and if $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$F_\tau(\lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2, \lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2) \geq \lambda F_\tau(\sigma_1, \rho_1) + (1-\lambda)F_\tau(\sigma_2, \rho_2).$$

Proof. Invoke Lemma 2.9. □

3. Bures Contractive Channels

3.1. Definition and examples.

Definition 3.1. *Suppose that $f : X \to X$ is a mapping on a metric space (X, d). Then:*

1. *f is* nonexpansive*, if $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq d(x_1, x_2)$, for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$;*
2. *f is* locally contractive*, if $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < d(x_1, x_2)$, for all distinct $x_1, x_2 \in X$;*
3. *f is* strictly contractive*, if there exists a constant $0 \leq C < 1$ such that $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq Cd(x_1, x_2)$, for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.*

Of course, our interest in this paper is with the metric space $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$.

Proposition 3.2. *If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a channel, then the function $f_\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}) \to \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ defined by $f_\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \mathcal{E}(\rho)$, for $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, is a nonexpansive continuous affine function on the convex metric space $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$.*

Proof. Fidelity satisfies $F_\tau(\sigma, \rho) \leq F_\tau(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho))$, for all $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ [13, Theorem 2.4]. Thus, $d_B^\tau(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) \leq d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho)$, which implies that $f_\mathcal{E}$ is a nonexpansive map of the metric space $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, and the continuity of $f_\mathcal{E}$ follows immediately because nonexpansive maps of metric spaces are continuous. The map $f_\mathcal{E}$ is obviously affine because $\mathcal{E}$ is linear. □

Definition 3.3. *A channel $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a* Bures contraction *if $f_\mathcal{E}$ is a locally contractive map of the metric space $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$.*

Example 3.4. The *completely depolarising channel* $\Omega : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ defined by

$$\Omega(x) = \frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(1)}1,$$

for $x \in \mathsf{A}$, is Bures contractive completely positive channel.

Proof. Because Ω maps the set $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ to the singleton set $\{\frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(1)}1\}$, the map Ω satisfies $d_B^\tau(\Omega(\sigma),\Omega(\rho)) = 0$ for all $\sigma,\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$. The complete positivity of Ω follows from the fact that the range of Ω is the abelian C*-algebra $\mathbb{C}\,1$. □

A unitary channel $x \to uxu^*$ is an isometry of the density space $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, and so such channels are not Bures contractive. Random unitary channels may also fail to be Bure contractive.

Example 3.5. The channel $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ defined, for $x \in \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, by $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(x) = \lambda uxu^* + (1-\lambda)vxv^*$, where $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and

$$u = 2^{-1/2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } v = 2^{-1/2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

is not a Bures contraction.

Proof. With respect to the normalised trace τ on $\mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the matrix units e_{11} and e_{22} are density elements. If λ is neither 0 nor 1, then $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(e_{11}) = e_{22}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(e_{22}) = e_{11}$. Hence,

$$d_B^\tau\left(\mathcal{E}_\lambda(e_{11}),\mathcal{E}_\lambda(e_{22})\right) = d_B^\tau\left(e_{22},e_{11}\right) = d_B^\tau\left(e_{11},e_{22}\right),$$

which shows that $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is not a Bures contraction. If λ is 0 or 1, then the channel is a unitary channel, failing again to be Bures contractive. □

Proposition 3.6. *If $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ are channels on A, and if at least one of them is Bures contractive, then so is $\lambda\mathcal{E}_1 + (1-\lambda)\mathcal{E}_2$, for every $\lambda \in (0,1)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\mathcal{E}_1$ is Bures contractive, and let $\sigma,\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$. By Corollary 2.10,

$$\begin{aligned} & F_\tau(\lambda\mathcal{E}_1(\sigma) + (1-\lambda)\mathcal{E}_2(\sigma), \lambda\mathcal{E}_1(\rho) + (1-\lambda)\mathcal{E}_2(\rho)) \\ \geq\ & \lambda F_\tau(\mathcal{E}_1(\sigma),\mathcal{E}_1(\rho)) + (1-\lambda)F_\tau(\mathcal{E}_2(\sigma),\mathcal{E}_2(\rho)) \\ >\ & \lambda F_\tau(\sigma,\rho) + (1-\lambda)F_\tau(\sigma,\rho) \\ =\ & F_\tau(\sigma,\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\lambda\mathcal{E}_1 + (1-\lambda)\mathcal{E}_2$ is Bures contractive. □

Proposition 3.6 gives an efficient construction of Bures contractive channels.

Example 3.7 (Depolarising Channels)**.** For each $\lambda \in (0,1)$, the depolarising channel $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$, defined by $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(x) = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)\frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(1)}1$, is Bures contractive.

Proof. $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is a convex combination of the identity channel I and the completely depolarising (Bures contractive) channel Ω. Therefore, by Proposition 3.6, $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is a Bures contraction. □

Example 3.8. The channel $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} + \frac{x_{11}+x_{22}}{2} & x_{21} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} + \frac{x_{11}+x_{22}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a (non 2-positive) Bures contractive Schwarz channel with respect to the canonical trace Tr of $\mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The proofs that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz map and fails to be 2-positive are given in [8]. It is clear that $\mathcal{E}$ is trace preserving; hence, $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel. Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ be given by

$$\mathcal{E}_1(x) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(x)}{2}\,1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^t,$$

where x^t denotes the transpose of x. Since the map $\mathcal{E}_1$ is the completely depolarising channel on $\mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the canonical trace, $\mathcal{E}_1$ is a Bures contraction. Therefore, by Proposition 3.6, $\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2) = \mathcal{E}$ is also a Bures contraction. □

Unitary channels are isometries of the metric space $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$. The next result shows that channels that commute with the unitary channels are necessarily locally contractive maps of $(\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}), d_B^\tau)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that a channel $\mathcal{E}$ on a finite factor N commutes with every unitary channel on N; that is, $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathrm{Ad}_u = \mathrm{Ad}_u \circ \mathcal{E}$ for every unitary $u \in \mathsf{N}$, where Ad_u denotes the channel $x \mapsto uxu^*$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is not a scalar multiple of the identity channel, then $\mathcal{E}$ is Bures contractive.*

Proof. Let τ denote the faithful normal trace on N, which without loss of generality we assume to be normalised. Because N is a factor, τ is the unique tracial state on N for which $\tau(1) = 1$.

We begin by adapting an argument used in the proof of [4, Theorem 3.1] to our purpose. Let M be any (unital) von Neumann subalgebra of N, and suppose that a unitary $u \in \mathsf{N}$ satisfies $uy = yu$ for every $y \in \mathsf{M}$. Therefore, for each $y \in \mathsf{M}$, $\mathcal{E}(y) = \mathcal{E}(uyu^*) = u\mathcal{E}(y)u^*$, by the hypothesis that $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathrm{Ad}_u = \mathrm{Ad}_u \circ \mathcal{E}$. Hence, $\mathcal{E}(y)$ commutes with u, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\mathsf{M}) &\subseteq (\mathrm{Span}\,\{u \in \mathsf{M}' \cap \mathsf{N} : u^*u = uu^* = 1\})' \cap \mathsf{N} \\ &= (\mathsf{M}' \cap \mathsf{N})' \cap \mathsf{N} \subseteq \mathsf{M}'' = \mathsf{M}. \end{aligned}$$

The property that $\mathcal{E}(\mathsf{M}) \subseteq \mathsf{M}$, for every von Neumann subalgebra M of N, is equivalent to the assertion that $\mathcal{E}$ has the form $\mathcal{E}(x) = \alpha x + \psi(x)1$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and linear functional ψ on N [4, Theorem 2.1]. Because $\mathcal{E}$ is not a scalar multiple of the identity channel, neither β nor ψ are zero. The hypothesis $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathrm{Ad}_u = \mathrm{Ad}_u \circ \mathcal{E}$ for every unitary $u \in \mathsf{N}$ implies that $\psi(uxu^*) = \psi(x)$ for all unitaries u and all $x \in \mathsf{N}$. Hence, ψ is a tracial functional. Since N is a factor, $\psi = \beta\tau$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. The trace preservation of $\mathcal{E}$ yields $\alpha + \beta = 1$, and so $\mathcal{E}$ is unital. Hence $\|\mathcal{E}\| = 1$; thus, if $x \in \ker\tau$ is nonzero, then $\|x\| \geq \|\mathcal{E}(x)\| = |\alpha|\,\|x\|$ and $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}(x^*) = \mathcal{E}(x)^*$ for $x \in \ker\tau$ yields $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Select a noninvertible positive $h \in \mathsf{N}$; the positivity of $\mathcal{E}(h)$ implies that $\alpha\lambda + \beta \geq 0$ for every λ in the spectrum of h. With $\lambda = 0$, in particular, we obtain $\beta \geq 0$. Therefore, $\alpha = 1 - \beta$ and $|\alpha| \leq 1$ imply that $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. Thus, $\mathcal{E}$ is a convex combination of two channels, one of which is depolarising. Hence, by Proposition 3.6, $\mathcal{E}$ is a Bures contraction. □

Another application of Corollary 2.10 is given by the next result, which shows the Bures contractive linear maps of $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ are norm-dense in the norm-closed convex set of all channels on A.

Proposition 3.10. *If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a channel, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Bures contractive channel $\mathcal{E}'$ such that $\|\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Define, for $\delta \in (0,1)$, the linear map $\mathcal{E}_\delta = \delta\Omega + (1-\delta)\mathcal{E}$, where Ω is depolarising channel on A given by $\Omega(x) = \frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(1)}1$, for $x \in \mathsf{A}$. Thus, by the triangle inequality,

$$\|\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_\delta\| \leq \delta\left(\|\Omega\| + \|\mathcal{E}\|\right).$$

Thus, we have $\|\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'\| < \varepsilon$ for $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}_\delta$ and $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2(\|\Omega\|+\|\mathcal{E}\|)}$. By Proposition 3.6 and the fact that the depolarising channel is Bures contractive, the channel $\mathcal{E}_\delta$ is Bures contractive. □

Proposition 3.10 has an analogue for the metric topology of $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$:

Proposition 3.11. *If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a channel, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Bures contraction $\mathcal{E}'$ such that*

$$d_B^\tau\left(\mathcal{E}(\rho), \mathcal{E}'(\rho)\right) < \varepsilon,$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$.

Proof. Define, for $\delta \in (0,1)$, the linear map $\mathcal{E}_\delta = \delta\Omega + (1-\delta)\mathcal{E}$, where Ω is the linear map on A defined by $\Omega(x) = \frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(1)}1$, for $x \in \mathsf{A}$. As noted in the proof of Proposition 3.10, the map $\mathcal{E}_\delta$ is Bures contractive for every $\delta \in (0,1)$. If $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, then Corollary 2.10 yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_\tau(\mathcal{E}_\delta(\rho), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) &= F_\tau(\delta\Omega(\rho) + (1-\delta)\mathcal{E}(\rho), \delta\mathcal{E}(\rho) + (1-\delta)\mathcal{E}(\rho)) \\ &\geq \delta F_\tau(\Omega(\rho), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) + (1-\delta)F_\tau\left(\mathcal{E}(\rho), \mathcal{E}(\rho)\right) \\ &\geq 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d_B^\tau(\mathcal{E}_\delta(\rho), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) \leq \sqrt{\delta}$. Selecting $\mathcal{E}'$ to be $\mathcal{E}_\delta$ for $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$ completes the proof. □

3.2. **Multiplicative domains.**

Definition 3.12. *The* multiplicative domain *of a positive linear map $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is the set*

$$\mathcal{M}_\Phi = \{x \in \mathsf{A} : \Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y),\ \Phi(yx) = \Phi(y)\Phi(x),\ \forall\, y \in \mathsf{A}\}.$$

Thus, the multiplicative domain of Φ is the largest C*-subalgebra of A upon which the linear map Φ is multiplicative. A related set is

$$\mathcal{S}_\Phi = \{x \in \mathsf{A} : \Phi(x^*x) = \Phi(x)^*\Phi(x),\ \Phi(xx^*) = \Phi(x)\Phi(x)^*\}.$$

Of course $\mathcal{S}_\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\Phi$. However, if Φ is a Schwarz map, then $\mathcal{S}_\Phi = \mathcal{M}_\Phi$ [7, 29].

Proposition 3.13. *If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a channel, then $f_\mathcal{E}$ is isometric on the set $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. That is,*

$$d_B^\tau\left(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho)\right) = d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho),$$

for all $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. We shall prove that $F_\tau(\rho, \sigma) = F_\tau(\mathcal{E}(\rho), \mathcal{E}(\sigma))$ for all $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$.

To this end, select $x \in \mathsf{A}_+ \cap \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. Because $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$ is a C*-algebra, the element $x^{1/2}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = \mathcal{E}(x^{1/2}x^{1/2}) = \mathcal{E}(x^{1/2})\mathcal{E}(x^{1/2}) = [\mathcal{E}(x^{1/2})]^2,$$

which shows that $\mathcal{E}(x)^{1/2} = \mathcal{E}(x^{1/2})$. Now for $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$, the element $\rho^{1/2}\sigma\rho^{1/2}$ lies in $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$; thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\tau(\mathcal{E}(\rho), \mathcal{E}(\sigma)) &= \tau[(\mathcal{E}(\rho)^{1/2}\mathcal{E}(\sigma)\mathcal{E}(\rho)^{1/2})^{1/2}] \\ &= \tau[(\mathcal{E}(\rho^{1/2})\mathcal{E}(\sigma)\mathcal{E}(\rho^{1/2}))^{1/2}] \\ &= \tau[(\mathcal{E}(\rho^{1/2}\sigma\rho^{1/2}))^{1/2}] \\ &= \tau[\mathcal{E}((\rho^{1/2}\sigma\rho^{1/2})^{1/2})] \\ &= \tau[(\rho^{1/2}\sigma\rho^{1/2})^{1/2}] \\ &= F_\tau(\rho, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the affine function $f_\mathcal{E}$ is isometric on $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. □

Corollary 3.14. *The multiplicative domain of a Bures contractive channel is* $\mathbb{C}\,1 = \{\lambda 1 : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proof. If not, then the Bures contractive channel $\mathcal{E}$ in question possesses at least two distinct τ-density elements σ and ρ. Therefore, the feature $d_B^\tau(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) < d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho)$ cannot hold, by Proposition 3.13. □

The preceding result is a stronger form of a corresponding theorem of Raginsky [27] for the trace-norm metric.

A partial converse to Proposition 3.13 is the following result on the hereditary nature of multiplicative domains.

Proposition 3.15. *If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel, and if $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$ is a τ-density element for which there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ such that $d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = d_B^\tau(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho))$, then $\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2} \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. Because $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$, we have that $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)^{1/2} = \mathcal{E}(\sigma^{1/2})$ and, for every $x \in \mathsf{A}$, that $\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{1/2}x) = \mathcal{E}(\sigma^{1/2})\mathcal{E}(x)$. Thus, using the Schwarz inequality

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2})^{1/2} \geq \mathcal{E}([\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2}]^{1/2}),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau([\mathcal{E}(\sigma)^{1/2}\mathcal{E}(\rho)\mathcal{E}(\sigma)^{1/2}]^{1/2}) &= \tau([\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{1/2})\mathcal{E}(\rho)\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{1/2})]^{1/2}) \\ &= \tau([\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2})]^{1/2}) \\ &\geq \tau \circ \mathcal{E}[(\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2})^{1/2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inequality must be an equality, resulting in

$$[\mathcal{E}(\sigma)^{1/2}\mathcal{E}(\rho)\mathcal{E}(\sigma)^{1/2}]^{1/2} = \mathcal{E}[(\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2})^{1/2}].$$

The equation above implies that $\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2} \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. □

Corollary 3.16. *If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel, and if $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$ is an invertible τ-density element for which there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ such that $d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) = d_B^\tau(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho))$, then $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. The hypothesis and Proposition 3.15 imply that $\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2} \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. Because $\sigma^{-1/2} \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$, the element $\rho = \sigma^{-1/2}\left(\sigma^{1/2}\rho\sigma^{1/2}\right)\sigma^{-1/2}$ also lies in $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. □

Definition 3.17. *The* centre *of the convex set $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ is the element $\zeta = \tau(1)^{-1}1$.*

The next proposition gives a necessary and sufficient criteria for a positive element to be in the multiplicative domain.

Proposition 3.18. *If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a Schwarz channel and if $a \in \mathsf{A}_+$ is nonzero, then $a \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$ if and only if the τ-density elements $\tau(a)^{-1}a$ and $\tau(a)^{-1}\mathcal{E}(a)$ are equidistant from the centre ζ of $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$.*

Proof. Assume that $a \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$ and let $\rho = \tau(a)^{-1}a$. It was shown in the proof of Proposition 3.13 that $\mathcal{E}(\rho^{1/2}) = \mathcal{E}(\rho)^{1/2}$; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\tau(\rho,\zeta) &= F_\tau(\rho,\tau(1)^{-1}1) = \frac{\tau(\rho^{1/2})}{\tau(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\tau[\mathcal{E}(\rho^{1/2})]}{\tau(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\tau[\mathcal{E}(\rho)^{1/2}]}{\tau(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= F_\tau(\mathcal{E}(\rho),\tau(1)^{-1}1) \\ &= F_\tau(\mathcal{E}(\rho),\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tau(a)^{-1}a$ and $\tau(a)^{-1}\mathcal{E}(a)$ are equidistant from the centre ζ of $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$.

Conversely, assume that $d_B^\tau\left(\tau(a)^{-1}a,\zeta\right) = d_B^\tau\left(\tau(a)^{-1}\mathcal{E}(a),\zeta\right)$. Therefore, the fidelities $F_\tau\big(\tau(a)^{-1}a,\tau(1)^{-1}1\big)$ and $F_\tau\big(\tau(a)^{-1}\mathcal{E}(a),\tau(1)^{-1}1\big)$ coincide, which implies that $\tau(a^{1/2}) = \tau\left(\mathcal{E}(a)\right)^{1/2}$. The Schwarz inequality asserts that $\mathcal{E}(a) = \mathcal{E}(a^{1/2}a^{1/2}) \geq (\mathcal{E}(a^{1/2}))^2$. Since the square root is an operator monotone function, we obtain $\mathcal{E}(a)^{1/2} \geq \mathcal{E}(a^{1/2})$. Hence,

$$\tau(a^{1/2}) = \tau[\mathcal{E}(a)^{1/2}] \geq \tau[\mathcal{E}(a^{1/2})] = \tau(a^{1/2}).$$

By the faithfulness of trace, $\mathcal{E}(a)^{1/2} = \mathcal{E}(a^{1/2})$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}(a) = [\mathcal{E}(a)^{1/2}]^2 = [\mathcal{E}(a^{1/2})]^2 = \mathcal{E}(a^{1/2})\mathcal{E}(a^{1/2}),$$

which shows that $a^{1/2} \in \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{E}$. Because $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$ for Schwarz maps, we deduce that $a \in \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E}$. □

Recall that 2-positive linear maps are Schwarz maps; thus, by Proposition 1.5, 2-positive channels are unital maps.

Proposition 3.19. *Suppose that a 2-positive channel $\mathcal{E}$ has the form $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi+\Psi)$, for some 2-positive channels Φ and Ψ. If one of Φ or Ψ is a Bures contraction, then the multiplicative domain of $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathbb{C}1$.*

Proof. By [7, Theorem 3.3],

$$\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M}_\Phi \cap \mathcal{M}_\Psi \cap \{x \in \mathsf{A} : \mathcal{E}(x) = \Phi(x) = \Psi(x)\}.$$

If Φ or Ψ is a Bures contraction, then it is has multiplicative domain $\mathbb{C}1$, by Corollary 3.14. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}1$. □

Because the set of 2-positive channels is convex, the proposition above says that any map lying on a line segment passing through a Bures contraction will have trivial multiplicative domain.

3.3. **Fixed Points.**

Definition 3.20. *If Φ is a linear transformation on a vector space V, then $x \in V$ is a* fixed point *of Φ if $\Phi(x) = x$. The vector subspace*

$$\operatorname{Fix}\Phi = \{x \in V \,:\, \Phi(x) = x\}$$

is called the fixed point space of Φ.

Our interest here is with the fixed points of positive linear maps and channels. The first assertion of the following proposition is widely known, while the second assertion is an algebraic variant of a theorem of Kribs [23].

The notation $[x, y]$ below denotes the commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$.

Proposition 3.21. *The following statements hold for a channel $\mathcal{E}$ on A:*

(1) *if $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel, then $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ is a unital C*-algebra;*

(2) *if there exist $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \mathsf{A}$ such that $\mathcal{E}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k x w_k^*$, for all $x \in \mathsf{A}$, and if the linear span of the projections in the C*-algebra $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$, then*

$$\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E} = \{x \in \mathsf{A} \,:\, [x, w_k] = [x, w_k^*] = 0,\ \forall\, k = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel. If $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$, then the Schwarz inequality yields $0 \leq \mathcal{E}(x^*x) - \mathcal{E}(x^*)\mathcal{E}(x) = \mathcal{E}(x^*x) - x^*x$, where the final equality is a consequence of the hypothesis $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$. On evaluating the trace, we obtain the inequality

$$0 \leq \tau\left[\mathcal{E}(x^*x) - \mathcal{E}(x^*)\mathcal{E}(x)\right] = \tau(x^*x) - \tau(x^*x) = 0.$$

Thus, the positive element $\mathcal{E}(x^*x) - \mathcal{E}(x^*)\mathcal{E}(x)$ has zero trace, which yields $\mathcal{E}(x^*x) = \mathcal{E}(x^*)\mathcal{E}(x)$. Hence, $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Therefore, if $x_1, x_2 \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$, then $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and so $\mathcal{E}(x_1x_2) = \mathcal{E}(x_1)\mathcal{E}(x_2) = x_1x_2$, which proves that $x_1x_2 \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$.

To prove the second assertion, suppose without loss of generality that A is represented faithfully as a unital C*-subalgebra of $\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{H})$ for some Hilbert space H. The set $\mathsf{B}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{x \in \mathsf{A} \,:\, [x, w_k] = [x, w_k^*] = 0,\ \forall\, k = 1, \ldots, n\}$ is a unital C*-subalgebra of A such that $\mathsf{B}_{\mathcal{E}} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$. Conversely, choose a projection $p \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$. If $\xi \in \mathsf{H}$, then,

$$\|p\xi\|^2 = \langle p\xi, \xi\rangle = \langle \mathcal{E}(p)\xi, \xi\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle pw_k^*\xi, w_k^*\xi\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|pw_k^*\xi\|^2.$$

Thus, if $\xi \in \ker p$, then $w_k^*\xi \in \ker p$ for every k, which proves that $\ker p$ is invariant for each w_k^*. A similar argument, using $1 - p \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ in place of p shows that $\operatorname{ran} p = \ker(1 - p)$ is invariant for each w_k^*. Thus, $\operatorname{ran} p$ is a reducing subspace for each w_k^*, which proves that $pw_k = w_kp$ for each k. Hence, $p \in \mathsf{B}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Because the linear span of the projections in $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$, we deduce from the continuity of $\mathcal{E}$ that $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathsf{B}_{\mathcal{E}}$. □

A useful result concerning fixed points of Bures contractive Schwarz channels is:

Proposition 3.22. *If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Bures contractive Schwarz channel, then $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}\,1$*

Proof. By Proposition 3.21, the fixed point subspace of a Schwarz channel is a C*-algebra; hence, $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ is a subset of the multiplicative domain $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\mathcal{E}$. In addition, $\mathcal{E}$ is a Bures contraction; therefore, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathbb{C}\,1$ by Corollary 3.14. □

4. Frobenius Theory of Bures Contractions

4.1. **Irreducible channels.** The central idea in Frobenius theory is that of irreducibility. This notion appears as a combinatorial concept in matrix theory, but in more general contexts the notion of irreducibility is related to the absence of invariant faces in a cone. The main results of this section show that Bures contractive channels are irreducible, in both the C*-algebra and von Neumann algebra frameworks.

Definition 4.1. *A nonempty subset F of A_+ is a* face *of A_+ if, for $a \in \mathsf{A}_+$ and $b \in F$, the inequality $a \leq b$ holds only if $a \in F$.*

Definition 4.2. *Assume that $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ and $\Psi : \mathsf{M} \to \mathsf{M}$ are positive linear maps of a C*-algebra A and a von Neumann algebra M, and assume that Ψ is normal.*

1. *If there exists a norm-closed face F of A_+ different from $\{0\}$ and A_+ such that $\Phi(F) \subseteq F$, then Φ is said to be* reducible.
2. *If there exists a ultraweakly-closed face F of M_+ different from $\{0\}$ and M_+ such that $\Psi(F) \subseteq F$, then Ψ is said to be* reducible.

A positive linear map on A or M that is not reducible is called irreducible.

The precise determination of the norm-closed faces and the ultraweakly-closed faces of the positive cones of, respectively, C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras is provided in the monograph [2].

The following observation is a variant of [12, Proposition 1].

Lemma 4.3. *If $\Phi : \mathsf{M} \to \mathsf{M}$ is a normal positive linear map of norm $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$ on a von Neumann algebra M, then Φ is reducible if and only if there exists a nontrivial projection $p \in \mathsf{M}$ such that $\Phi(p) \leq p$.*

Proof. Assume that Φ is reducible; thus, there is a nontrivial ultraweakly-closed face F of M_+ such that $\Phi(F) \subseteq F$. Therefore, there exists an ultraweakly-closed left ideal J of M such that $F = J_+$ [2, Theorem 3.13]. Now, if 1 were an element of F, then $1 \in J_+ \subseteq J$ implies that $x = x(1) \in J$ for every $x \in \mathsf{M}$, and so we would obtain $J = \mathsf{M}$ and $F = \mathsf{M}_+$, which is impossible since F is nontrivial.

Consider now $F_1 = F \cap \{x \in \mathsf{M} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$, which is a (weakly-closed) face of M_+. Because both F and the closed unit ball of M are invariant under Φ, we deduce that F_1 is Φ-invariant. Furthermore, the exists a projection $p \in F_1$ such that $a \leq p$ for all $a \in F_1$ [2, Proposition 3.9]. By the remarks of the previous paragraph, $p \neq 1$; and since $F \neq \{0\}$, F has a nonzero elements a of norm $\|a\| \leq 1$. Thus, p is a nontrivial projection. Because $p \in F_1$ and F_1 is Φ-invariant, we have that $\Phi(p) \in F_1$, whence $\Phi(p) \leq p$.

Conversely, suppose that $p \in \mathsf{M}$ is a nontrivial projection such that $\Phi(p) \leq p$. Let $F = \{a \in \mathsf{M}_+ : a \leq p\}$, which is a proper ultraweakly closed face of M_+. Further, if $a \in F$, then $a \leq p$ implies that $\Phi(a) \leq \Phi(p) \leq p$, and so $\Phi(a) \in F$. Therefore, Φ is reducible. □

Corollary 4.4. *A contractive normal trace-preserving positive linear map $\mathcal{E}$ on a finite von Neumann algebra N is reducible if and only if there exists a nontrivial projection $p \in \mathsf{N}$ such that $\mathcal{E}(p) = p$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{E}$ is reducible, then Lemma 4.3 shows that $\mathcal{E}(p) \leq p$ for some nontrivial projection $p \in \mathsf{N}$. Because $\tau \circ \mathcal{E} = \tau$,

$$0 \leq \tau\left(\mathcal{E}(p) - p\right) = \tau \circ \mathcal{E}(p) - \tau(p) = \tau(p) - \tau(p) = 0.$$

Hence, as the trace τ is faithful, $\mathcal{E}(p) = p$.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{E}(p) = p$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is reducible by Lemma 4.3. □

Example 4.5. The random unitary channel $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ defined, for $x \in \mathsf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, by $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(x) = \lambda uxu^* + (1-\lambda)vxv^*$, where $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

is irreducible.

Proof. Because u and v are selfadjoint unitaries, Proposition 3.21 shows that $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is the commutant of the set $\{u, v\}$. A straightforward calculation shows that the commutant of $\{u, v\}$ is $\mathbb{C}\,1$. Hence, there is no nontrivial projection p for which $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(p) = p$, which by Corollary 4.4 implies that $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is irreducible. □

Recall that if $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a positive linear map on a unital C*-algebra A, then $\Phi^{**} : \mathsf{A}^{**} \to \mathsf{A}^{**}$ is a normal positive linear map on the enveloping von Neumann algebra A^{**} of A.

In what follows, if $S \subseteq \mathsf{A}$, then S_+ shall denote $S \cap \mathsf{A}_+$.

Lemma 4.6. *The following statements are equivalent for a positive linear map $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$:*

(1) *Φ is reducible;*
(2) *Φ^{**} is reducible.*

Proof. If $\pi_u : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{B}(\mathsf{H}_u)$ is the universal representation of A, then $\mathsf{A} \cong \pi(\mathsf{A}) \subseteq \pi(\mathsf{A})'' = \mathsf{A}^{**}$; therefore, assume without loss of generality that A is represented as a weakly dense unital C*-subalgebra of A^{**}.

If Φ^{**} is reducible, then there exists a nontrivial projection $p \in \mathsf{A}^{**}$ such that $\Phi^{**}(p) \leq p$. The set $F = \{a \in \mathsf{A}_+ : a \leq p\}$ is a norm-closed proper face of A_+ (as $p \notin \{0, 1\}$). Furthermore, if $a \in F$, then the inequality $a \leq p$ leads to $\Phi(a) = \Phi^{**}(a) \leq \Phi^{**}(p) \leq p$, implying that $\Phi(a) \in F$. Hence, Φ is reducible.

Conversely, suppose that Φ is reducible. Without loss of generality we may assume that Φ is a contraction, as the invariance of a proper norm-closed face F of A_+ under Φ is independent of the norm of Φ. Let J be a norm-closed left ideal such that $F = J_+$, and fix an increasing right approximate identity for J – namely, an increasing net $\{e_\lambda\}_\lambda \subset J_+$ such that $\lim_\lambda \|x - xe_\lambda\| = 0$ for every $x \in J$. Considered as a subset of A^{**}, the ultraweak closure of J is $\overline{J}^{\sigma-\mathrm{wk}} = \{zq : z \in \mathsf{A}^{**}\}$, where $q \in \mathsf{A}^{**}$ is the least projection such that $aq = a$ for every $a \in J_+$ and is given by $q = \sup_\lambda e_\lambda$ [2, Proposition 3.44]. Since $\|e_\lambda\| \leq \|q\| = 1$ and Φ is contractive, the element $\Phi(e_\lambda) \in F$ has norm no greater than 1; thus, $\Phi(e_\lambda) \leq q$ [2, Proposition 3.9]. Hence, using the normality of Φ^{**}, we obtain

$$\Phi^{**}(q) = \Phi^{**}\left(\sup_\lambda e_\lambda\right) = \sup_\lambda \Phi^{**}(e_\lambda) = \sup_\lambda \Phi(e_\lambda) \leq q,$$

which implies that Φ^{**} is reducible, by Lemma 4.3. □

The following two results establish the relationship between Bures contractiveness and the irreducibility of Schwarz channels.

Theorem 4.7. *A Bures contractive Schwarz channel on a finite von Neumann algebra is irreducible.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{N} \to \mathsf{N}$ be a Bures contractive Schwarz channel on a finite von Neumann algebra N with faithful normal trace τ. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is reducible. Thus, there is a nontrivial projection p such that $\mathcal{E}(p) = p$, by Proposition 4.3. Since $p = pp^* = p^*p = \mathcal{E}(p)^*\mathcal{E}(p)$ and $\mathcal{E}(p) = \mathcal{E}(p^*p)$, the projection p is in the multiplicative domain of $\mathcal{E}$. Corollary 3.14 asserts that the multiplicative domain of a Bures contractive Schwarz channel is $\mathbb{C}1$. Hence, p must be 0 or 1, in contradiction to the fact that p is neither 0 nor 1. Therefore, $\mathcal{E}$ must be irreducible. □

Theorem 4.8. *A Bures contractive Schwarz channel on a tracial C*-algebra is irreducible.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ be a Bures contractive Schwarz channel. Assuming that $A \subseteq \mathsf{A}^{**}$, the faithful $\mathcal{E}$-invariant trace τ on A extends to a faithful $\mathcal{E}^{**}$-invariant trace τ^{**} on A^{**}.

Assume, contrary to what we aim to prove, that $\mathcal{E}$ is reducible. Therefore, by Lemma 4.6, $\mathcal{E}^{**}$ is reducible; thus, $\mathcal{E}^{**}(p) = p$, for some nontrivial projection $p \in \mathsf{A}^{**}$. Likewise, $\mathcal{E}^{**}(q) = q$, where $q = 1 - p$. Hence, p and q are nonzero positive contractions A^{**} such that $p \perp q$ and $\mathcal{E}^{**}(p) \perp \mathcal{E}^{**}(q)$. If $a, b \in \mathsf{A}^{**}$ are positive and satisfy $a \leq p$ and $b \leq q$, then $ab = ba = 0$. To verify this, note that

$$0 \leq \tau(b^{1/2}ab^{1/2}) \leq \tau^{**}(b^{1/2}pb^{1/2}) = \tau^{**}(pbp) \leq \tau^{**}(pqp) = \tau^{**}(pq) = 0,$$

which implies that $b^{1/2}ab^{1/2} = 0$. As these are operators acting on the universal representation Hilbert space H_u for A, we obtain $0 = \|ab^{1/2}\xi\|^2$ for every $\xi \in \mathsf{H}_u$; hence, $ab^{1/2} = 0$, which yields $ab = 0$ and $ba = 0$.

By the Kaplansky Density Theorem, there are increasing nets $\{a_\alpha\}_\alpha$ and $\{b_\beta\}_\beta$ of positive operators in A converging strongly to p and q, respectively. Thus, $p = \sup_\alpha a_\alpha$ and $q = \sup_\beta b_\beta$ yields, by the normality of $\mathcal{E}^{**}$, that

$$\mathcal{E}^{**}(p) = \sup_\alpha \mathcal{E}^{**}(a_\alpha) = \sup_\alpha \mathcal{E}(a_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}^{**}(q) = \sup_\beta \mathcal{E}^{**}(b_\beta) = \sup_\beta \mathcal{E}(b_\beta).$$

Thus, by the arguments of the previous paragraph, $a_\alpha \perp b_\beta$ and $\mathcal{E}(a_\alpha) \perp \mathcal{E}(b_\beta)$ for all α and β, which contradicts the hypothesis that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Bures contraction. Therefore, it must be that $\mathcal{E}$ is irreducible. □

Examples 3.5 and 4.5 demonstrate that the converses of Propositions 4.7 and 4.8 do not hold.

Proposition 4.9. *If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel on N, then there exists a (normal) completely positive channel $\Pi : \mathsf{N} \to \mathsf{N}$ such that $\Pi^2 = \Pi$ and $\operatorname{ran}\Pi = \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}$ is an irreducible channel if and only if Π is Bures contractive channel.*

Proof. The hypothesis on $\mathcal{E}$ implies that $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ is a unital C*-algebra (Proposition 3.21). Furthermore, because $\mathcal{E}$ is normal, the C*-algebra $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of N. Hence, there exists a unital completely positive normal map $\Pi : \mathsf{N} \to \mathsf{N}$ such that $\Pi^2 = \Pi$, $\tau \circ \Pi = \tau$, and $\operatorname{ran}\Pi = \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ [29, Propositions 2.2.6, 2.2.11].

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is irreducible. Thus, $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}\,1$, and so $\Pi(x) = \lambda_x 1$ for every $x \in \mathsf{N}$. From $\tau \circ \Pi = \tau$ we deduce that $\lambda_x = \frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(1)}$. Hence, Π is depolarising and, therefore, Bures contractive.

Conversely, assume that Π is a Bures contraction. Thus, $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}\,1$ implies that $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{E}$ has no nontrivial projections. Therefore, the equation $\mathcal{E}(p) = p$ holds for a projection $p \in \mathsf{N}$ only if p is trivial. Hence, $\mathcal{E}$ is irreducible. □

4.2. **Perron value.** The identity operator on A will be denoted by I and the spectrum of a bounded linear operator $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Sp}\Phi$. That is,

$$\operatorname{Sp}\Phi = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Phi - \lambda I \text{ is not an invertible operator on } \mathsf{A}\}.$$

Of special interest are the point spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}\Phi$, which consists of the eigenvalues of Φ, and the approximate point spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{ap}}\Phi$, which consists of approximate eigenvalues of Φ. Thus, $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{ap}}\Phi$ if and only for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a nonzero $x \in \mathsf{A}$ such that $\|\Phi(x) - \lambda x\| < \varepsilon\|x\|$.

If Φ is a positive linear map, then one might expect a Perron-Frobenius-type behaviour with regards to the spectrum of Φ. This has been known for several decades to be true.

Theorem 4.10 (Perron-Frobenius)**.** [28, Appendix 2.2] *If $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a positive linear map, then the spectral radius of Φ is an element of the spectrum of Φ.*

Definition 4.11. *The spectral radius of a positive linear map $\Phi : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is called the* Perron value *of Φ.*

If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Schwarz channel on A, then $\mathcal{E}$ is unital (Proposition 1.5) and so $1 \in \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}\mathcal{E}$. But as $\|\mathcal{E}\| \leq 1$, the spectrum of $\mathcal{E}$ lies in the closed unit disc of $\mathbb{C}$, which implies that the spectral radius of $\mathcal{E}$ is 1. Thus, the *spectral circle* for such $\mathcal{E}$ is the boundary $\mathbb{T}$ of the closed unit disc.

A theorem of Groh [17] states that if Φ is an irreducible unital Schwarz map on a unital C*-algebra, then the peripheral point spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}\Phi \cap \mathbb{T}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$. In the case of Bures contractive channels, one has that this subgroup is trivial:

Proposition 4.12. *If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a Bures contractive Schwarz channel, then*

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}\mathcal{E} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{1\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{E}$ with (nonzero) eigenvector x. Because $\mathcal{E}$ preserves selfadjointness, it is also true that $\mathcal{E}(x^*) = \bar{\omega}x^*$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}(x^*x) \geq \mathcal{E}(x)^*\mathcal{E}(x) = \bar{\omega}x^*\omega x = x^*x.$$

Applying the faithful trace τ to the inequality above yields the inequality

$$0 \leq \tau\left[\mathcal{E}(x^*x) - \mathcal{E}(x)^*\mathcal{E}(x)\right] = \tau(x^*x) - \tau(x^*x) = 0.$$

Thus, the positive element $\mathcal{E}(x^*x) - \mathcal{E}(x)^*\mathcal{E}(x)$ has zero trace, which yields $\mathcal{E}(x^*x) = \mathcal{E}(x)^*\mathcal{E}(x)$. Hence, $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}$. However, Corollary 3.14 asserts that the multiplicative domain of a Schwarz channel is $\mathbb{C}1$; hence, $x \in \ker(\mathcal{E} - I) \cap \ker(\mathcal{E} - \omega I)$, and so $\omega = 1$. This proves that $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{p}}\mathcal{E} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{1\}$. □

It is well-known that if a completely positive channel $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathsf{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ has a completely positive inverse, then $\mathcal{E}$ must be a unitary channel. As unitary channels are Bures isometries, intuition suggests that invertible Bures contractive channels fail to be channels.

Proposition 4.13. *The linear inverse of a bijective Bures contractive channel is not positive.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is bijective channel, and if $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ were also a channel, then $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ satisfies the monotonicity property with respect to the fidelity function, implying for all distinct $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ that

$$F_\tau(\sigma, \rho) = F_\tau(\mathcal{E}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E}(\rho)) \geq F(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) > F(\sigma, \rho),$$

in contradiction to $F_\tau(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho)) = F_\tau(\sigma, \rho)$. □

4.3. **Nonscalar extreme points.**

Proposition 4.14. *Assume that* A *is finite (i.e.,* $xy = 1$ *only if* $yx = 1$*) and quasi-transitive (i.e.,* $xay = 0$*, for every* $a \in \mathsf{A}$*, only if* $x = 0$ *or* $y = 0$*). If* $\mathcal{E}$ *is a Bures contractive Schwarz channel, then the image* $\mathcal{E}(\mathsf{A})$ *does not contain any nonscalar extreme points of the closed unit ball of* A*.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose there exists a nonscalar $a \in \mathsf{A}$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$ such that $\mathcal{E}(a)$ is an extreme point of the unit ball of A. By Kadison's Theorem [22], $\mathcal{E}(a)$ is necessarily a partial isometry satisfying $(1-\mathcal{E}(a)^*\mathcal{E}(a))\mathsf{A}(1-\mathcal{E}(a)\mathcal{E}(a)^*) = \{0\}$. Using the quasi-transitivity and finiteness of A, we get $\mathcal{E}(a)^*\mathcal{E}(a) = 1 = \mathcal{E}(a)\mathcal{E}(a)^*$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is unital and $aa^* \leq 1$, we have $\mathcal{E}(aa^*) \leq 1$. Now using the Schwarz inequality,

$$1 = \mathcal{E}(a)\mathcal{E}(a)^* \leq \mathcal{E}(aa^*) \leq 1,$$

resulting in $\mathcal{E}(aa^*) = \mathcal{E}(a)\mathcal{E}(a)^*$. Similarly, $\mathcal{E}(a^*a) = \mathcal{E}(a)^*\mathcal{E}(a)$. Hence, a belongs to the multiplicative domain of $\mathcal{E}$. Since a Bures contractive map has 1-dimensional multiplicative domain, this contradicts the assumption. Hence $\mathcal{E}(\mathsf{A})$ can not contain any nonscalar extreme points of the closed unit ball of A. □

5. Concluding Remarks

The results herein confirm the intuition that one might have concerning (Bures) contractions of the density space – the irreducibility of such maps is one such example. With an eye toward applications, one may make several other statements, such as the following assertion concerning error correction.

If $\mathcal{E} : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a channel, and if $\mathcal{C}$ is a nonempty susbet (of *codes*) of the density space $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is *correctable on* $\mathcal{C}$ if there exists a channel $\mathcal{R}$ on A for which $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \rho$, for every $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$. It was observed by Petz in [25] that the preservation of certain distinguishability measures between density operators is a sufficient condition for correctability on those operators; it is, in fact, a necessary condition also, as shown by the result below.

Proposition 5.1. *Bures contractive channels are not correctable on any set of codes of cardinality larger than* 1*.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a nonempty susbet of $\mathcal{D}_\tau(\mathsf{A})$ consisting of at least two elements, and that there exists a channel $\mathcal{R}$ on A for which $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \rho$, for every $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$.

If $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{C}$ are distinct, then

$$d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho) > d_B^\tau\left(\mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{E}(\rho)\right) \geq d_B^\tau\left(\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{E}(\sigma), \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{E}(\rho)\right) = d_B^\tau(\sigma, \rho),$$

which is impossible. Thus, $\mathcal{E}$ is not correctable on any set $\mathcal{C}$ that has at least two elements. □

References

[1] C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson, and G. K. Pedersen. Triangle inequalities in operator algebras. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 11(2):167–178, 1982.

[2] E. M. Alfsen and F. W. Shultz. *State spaces of operator algebras*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. Basic theory, orientations, and C^*-products.

[3] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski. *Geometry of quantum states*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. An introduction to quantum entanglement.
[4] B. V. R. Bhat. Linear maps respecting unitary conjugation. *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 5(2):1–5, 2011.
[5] D. Bures. An extension of Kakutani's theorem on infinite product measures to the tensor product of semifinite w^*-algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135:199–212, 1969.
[6] H. Choda. An extremal property of the polar decomposition in von Neumann algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 46:341–344, 1970.
[7] M. D. Choi. A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on C^* -algebras. *Illinois J. Math.*, 18:565–574, 1974.
[8] M. D. Choi. The full C^*-algebra of the free group on two generators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 87(1):41–48, 1980.
[9] M. D. Choi. Some assorted inequalities for positive linear maps on C^*-algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 4(2):271–285, 1980.
[10] T. Fack. Sur la notion de valeur caractéristique. *J. Operator Theory*, 7(2):307–333, 1982.
[11] T. Fack and H. Kosaki. Generalized s-numbers of τ-measurable operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 123(2):269–300, 1986.
[12] D. Farenick. Irreducible positive linear maps on operator algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(11):3381–3390, 1996.
[13] D. Farenick, S. Jaques, and M. Rahaman. The fidelity of density operators in an operator-algebraic framework. *J. Math. Phys.*, 57(10):102202, 15, 2016.
[14] D. Farenick and S. M. Manjegani. Young's inequality in operator algebras. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 20(2):107–124, 2005.
[15] C. A. Fuchs and J. van de Graaf. Cryptographic distinguishability measures for quantum-mechanical states. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45(4):1216–1227, 1999.
[16] L. T. Gardner. Linear maps of C^*-algebras preserving the absolute value. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76(2):271–278, 1979.
[17] U. Groh. The peripheral point spectrum of Schwarz operators on C^*-algebras. *Math. Z.*, 176(3):311–318, 1981.
[18] M. Hayashi. *Quantum information*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. An introduction, Translated from the 2003 Japanese original.
[19] D. T. Hoa, H. Osaka, and H. M. Toan. On generalized Powers-Størmer's inequality. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438(1):242–249, 2013.
[20] L. Jian, K. He, Q. Yuan, and F. Wang. On partially trace distance preserving maps and reversible quantum channels. *J. Appl. Math.*, pages Art. ID 474291, 5, 2013.
[21] R. Jozsa. Fidelity for mixed quantum states. *J. Modern Opt.*, 41(12):2315–2323, 1994.
[22] R. V. Kadison. Isometries of operator algebras. *Ann. Of Math. (2)*, 54:325–338, 1951.
[23] D. W. Kribs. Quantum channels, wavelets, dilations and representations of $\mathcal{O}_n$. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 46(2):421–433, 2003.
[24] V. Paulsen. *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, volume 78 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
[25] D. Petz. Sufficiency of channels over von Neumann algebras. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 39(153):97–108, 1988.
[26] R. T. Powers and E. Størmer. Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 16:1–33, 1970.
[27] M. Raginsky. Strictly contractive quantum channels and physically realizable quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. A*, 65:032306, 2002.
[28] H. H. Schaefer. *Topological vector spaces*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971. Third printing corrected, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 3.
[29] E. Størmer. *Positive linear maps of operator algebras*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
[30] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. I*, volume 124 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.
[31] A. Uhlmann. The "transition probability" in the state space of a *-algebra. *Rep. Mathematical Phys.*, 9(2):273–279, 1976.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0A2, Canada